

Spaceflight-induced Bone Loss:

Is there a risk for accelerated
osteoporosis after return?

Jean D. Sibonga, Ph.D.
Sr. Research Scientist, USRA
HRP Bone Discipline Lead

Recommendations of Institute of Medicine – Safe Passage: Astronaut Care for Exploration Missions

- Develop and use an occupational health model for the collection and analysis of astronaut health data, giving priority to the creation and maintenance of a safe work environment
- Incorporate an evidence-based risk assessment and communication process into the risk identification and reduction approach

The IOM approach for Bone Discipline Evidence Base Reports

4 identified risks of an adverse outcome due to space exploration.

1. Accelerated Osteoporosis

Long-term health

2. Formation of Renal Stones

3. Intervertebral Disc Injury (*or Damage*)

4. Bone Fracture

#2-4 Risk for mission but more evidence required.

Overview

Evidence Base for #1 Risk of Accelerated Osteoporosis

- **Involutional Osteoporosis**
- **Bone remodeling process**
- **Skeletal adaptation to space**
- **Skeletal changes: space vs. ageing**
 - Circumstantial Evidence
- **Gaps in our knowledge base**

Two Risk Statements for Accelerated Osteoporosis

Earlier: Bioastronautics Roadmap 2005

“Osteoporosis associated with age-related bone loss may occur at an earlier age due to failure to recover bone lost during spaceflight.”

Current: Risk Statement in Human Research Program

“...(If) mission-related bone loss cannot be corrected by post-mission rehabilitation; crew members could be at *greater risk* of osteoporosis-related fractures in later life.”

- Is there recovery? Are the changes irreversible?
- —————> Overlap with involutional changes in bone.

“Involutional” Osteoporosis

The regressive alterations of a body or its parts characteristic of the ageing process

Age-related bone loss


Osteoporosis Definitions


- OLD: "...a reduced amount of bone that is qualitatively normal."
Albright F. Ann Intern Med. 1947
- MODERN: "...a systemic skeletal disease characterized by low bone mass and microarchitectural deterioration with a consequent increase in *bone fragility* with susceptibility to fracture"
Am. J. Med. 1991
- NEWEST: "Osteoporosis is a skeletal disorder characterized by compromised bone strength predisposing to an increased risk of fracture. Bone strength reflects the integration of two main features: bone density and bone quality."
JAMA. 2001

Classifications of Osteoporosis

- **Primary Osteoporosis** - “Involutional Osteoporosis”
 - Menopause-induced Bone Loss “Postmenopausal Osteoporosis”
 - Age-related Bone Loss “Senile Osteoporosis”
- **Secondary Osteoporosis** — External causes
 - Glucocorticoid Medication
 - Alcohol-induced
 - Immobilization
 - Anti-seizure drugs

Bone Gain and Loss with Age is Sex-specific





Dispelling a Fallacy: NOT BMD, but Bone size increases in growing males.

Phase I (Bone Gain): Femoral Shaft areal BMD increases with age, but volumetric BMD is independent of age in young males and females.

Seeman, E. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2001;86:4576-4584

THE JOURNAL OF
CLINICAL
ENDOCRINOLOGY
& METABOLISM

Being Female is a risk factor for osteoporosis.

- Smaller bones
- Undergo two phases of bone loss: an earlier rapid phase of loss (menopause induced) followed by a slower phase of loss (induced by ageing) equivalent to the rate of bone loss in men.


How does the “Risk for
Accelerated Osteoporosis” in
crew members
fit in with Involutional
Osteoporosis?

Clarifying the “Risk for Accelerated Osteoporosis.”

1. Accelerated: “to bring about at an earlier time”
2. Osteoporosis: Occurrence of fractures under mechanical loading of normal activities “atraumatic”
3. Evidence: incidence of atraumatic fractures at an earlier age (relative to expected age of occurrence)
4. Evidence: Greater prevalence fractures in the former astronauts compared to peer group.

A STUDY EVALUATING FRACTURE AS THE
OUTCOME IN ASTRONAUTS???

Age-Related Fractures : when and how many?



Measuring surrogates to bone strength.

Supplementing DXA measures of areal BMD.

But, with which one?

Bone Volume Changes in the Adult Skeleton : The Bone Remodeling Process


Changes in the skeletal tissue occur through 3 regulated processes

- Growth - developing skeleton ($BF > BR$)
- Modeling - shaping of bone (e.g., elongation)
- Remodeling – repair, renewal, calcium homeostasis
 - 10% of skeleton/year


Remodeling in Discrete Packets of Bone

(Bone Remodeling Unit BRU – Basic Multicellular Unit BMU)


A. Quiescent Bone Surface




B. Resorption Phase




C. Formation Phase





D. Quiescent Phase




Remodeling at the level of the BRU





Specific sites of bone remodeling.



Bone Remodeling of Cancellous Bone

(aka Trabecular Bone)

- For normal turnover, bone repair & tissue renewal, mineral homeostasis
- Bone Resorption (BR) precedes Bone Formation (BF)
- Time for BR < Time for BF
- Two phases of BF: matrix production and mineralization
- 4-6 months
- Bone Balance vs. Bone Coupling

Osteoporosis in the adult likely results from a perturbation in the remodeling process.


Multiple Pathophysiologies for Osteoporosis: Perturbations to Remodeling

Osteoporosis	BF	BR
Disuse* (“Skeletal unloading”)		+
Aging	-	
Glucocorticoids	-	
Estrogen Deficiency	+	++
Alcohol	-	
Metabolic diseases of High Bone Turnover	+	++

Skeletal Adaptation to Space


Evidence to Date

Early Missions: Skeletal Measurements




Calcium Regulation

Skylab-Calcium balance




Calcium Balance




Bone Ca Loss ~ 250 mg/d
Bone Ca Gain ~ 100 mg/d
Recovery: 2-3 x mission

Calcium Absorption



Spaceflight:
↓ Vitamin D stores
↓ PTH
↓ Active vitamin D
↓ Ca absorption

Skylab-BMD of Calcaneus




Bone Mineral Density

Regional BMD losses Mir

Index DXA aBMD g/cm ²	%/Month Change \pm SD
Lumbar Spine	-1.06 \pm 0.63*
Femoral Neck	-1.15 \pm 0.84*
Trochanter	-1.56 \pm 0.99*
Total Body	-0.35 \pm 0.25*
Pelvis	-1.35 \pm 0.54*
Arm	-0.04 \pm 0.88
Leg	-0.34 \pm 0.33*
*p<0.01, n=16-18	LeBlanc et al, 2000

Whole Body
0.3% / month

Lumbar Spine
1% / month



Hip
1.5% / month


DXA (Mir) and QCT (ISS)

LeBlanc, J Musculoskel Neuron Interact, 2000;
Lang , J Bone Miner Res, 2004; Vico, The Lancet 2000



Index DXA	%/Month Change \pm SD	Index QCT	%/Month Change \pm SD
aBMD Lumbar Spine	1.06 \pm 0.63*	Integral vBMD Lumbar Spine	0.9 \pm 0.5
		Trabecular vBMD Lumbar Spine	0.7 \pm 0.6
aBMD Femoral Neck	1.15 \pm 0.84*	Integral vBMD Femoral Neck	1.2 \pm 0.7
		Trabecular vBMD Femoral Neck	2.7 \pm 1.9
aBMD Trochanter	1.56 \pm 0.99*	Integral vBMD Trochanter	1.5 \pm 0.9
*p<0.01, n=16-18		Trabecular vBMD Trochanter	2.2 \pm 0.9

Minimum FN CSA and strength




•*: p<0.05 with respect to **preflight**, **postflight**

Lang et al, JBMR 2004, 2006.


Losses in vBMD of integral femoral neck but greater % losses in trabecular compartment, significant thinning of cortex at the femoral neck during flight, and periosteal expansion during 12-month postflight period.

Pattern of cortical bone thinning as seen in beagle after 40 wks of cast immobilization.




*From J.W.Jaworski
Slide Courtesy of D Carter*

Use of Imaging Technology to evaluate changes in bone mass



DXA Measurement




Skeletal Response

Seeman, E. J Clin
Endocrinol Metab 2001;86:4576-4584

THE JOURNAL OF
CLINICAL
ENDOCRINOLOGY
& METABOLISM

Mary Bouxsein, Ph.D.


Physiological Changes in Bone Geometry



Bone Turnover Markers

Bone Resorption

N-Telopeptide






Space Flight:

- ↑ Urinary collagen xlinks
- ↑ Urinary Ca
- ↑ Urinary OH-Proline

Bone resorption is increased during flight


Response of Bone Biomarkers

(Smith et al, JBMR 2005)




Bone Recovery


Consistent increase in BMD in Postflight Period




Trochanter



Pelvis



Lumbar Spine



Femoral neck


Model for Skeletal Recovery

Skeletal Site	Loss (L0) at landing %	50% Recovery Time (days)
Femoral Neck	6.8 (5.7, 7.9)	211 (129, 346)
Trochanter	7.8 (6.8, 8.8)	255 (173, 377)
Pelvis	7.7 (6.5, 8.9)	97 (56, 168)
Lumbar Spine	4.9 (3.8, 6.0)	151 (72, 315)
Calcaneus	2.9 (2.0, 3.8)	163 (67, 395)


Spaceflight Bone Loss vs. Age-related Bone Loss

BMD Loss vs. Age-matched Loss


**Short Term Loss in Total Hip DXA BMD
Population Means vs. ISS Astronauts
(Men)**



**Short Term Loss in Total Hip DXA BMD
Population Means vs. ISS Astronauts
(Women)**




**Short Term Loss in Lumbar Spine DXA BMD
Population Means vs. ISS Astronauts
(Men)**




Losses in 6 months in space far exceed 2-year losses on Earth in similarly –age population.

Short Term Loss in Forearm DXA BMD
Population Means vs. ISS Astronauts
(Men)




Short Term Loss in Forearm DXA BMD
Population Means vs. ISS Astronauts
(Women)



Minimal BMD loss
in forearms of
males on earth.

Small n for females but
suggest losses equivalent
to postmenopausal losses
on earth.

Short Term Loss in Lumbar Spine DXA BMD
Population Means vs. ISS Astronauts
(Women)




Circumstantial Evidence: Parallels Menopause vs. SF

1. Reduction TbN, loss of connectivity in postmenopausal women (Kleerekoper, 1985)
 2. Preferential cancellous bone loss (Riggs refs.)
 3. BMD losses 2-3%/yr (Riggs refs)
 4. Resorption on inside surface (endocortical) of cortex.
 5. Activation Frequency high in postmenopausal women (Recker, 2004)
1. Biopsies after 120 days bed rest. TbN reduced (Thompson, 2005)
 2. Preferential cancellous bone loss in proximal femur (Lang, 2004)
 3. Range BMD losses (3-9%) per ~6 months
 4. Cortical thinning at femoral neck from endocortical surface.
 5. Not quantified

Given the preferential loss in trabecular bone compartment and the rapid rate of loss in crew members, suspect that the impact on microarchitecture is at the very least equivalent to postmenopausal women.


Bone Remodeling Rates: Histomorphometry

Seventy percent reduction in remodeling rate does not look so alarming given that it results in activation frequency about equal to healthy premenopausal women.






Turnover in Crewmembers


- Loss in crewmembers at faster rate than postmenopausal females (months vs. years)
- High turnover with menopause leads to perforations of trabecular struts.
- At what time point with SF does irreversible perforation occur?



Normal vs. High Bone Turnover



MONTHS



MONTHS



Are crewmembers restored to preflight skeletal status? Recover bone that was lost in space?

- DXA: Restoration of areal BMD within ~ 3 years but cannot assess structure.
- QCT: Incomplete recovery of vBMD at 12 months postflight
- Geometrical changes at femoral neck indicate early onset of age-related changes (Riggs, JBMR 2004; 2008)


Histomorphometry of Bone Biopsies (Bed Rest Flight Analog)

- Vico (1987) a reduced mineralization, no change in matrix formation and increased resorption of bone (osteoclast parameters)
- Arnaud (1992) suppressed bone formation rate and reduced osteoblast activity in as short as 7 d experiment
- Zerwekh (1998) mild decrement in bone-forming osteoblasts concurrent with increased bone resorption in 12 wk study
- Thomsen (2006) deterioration of trabecular microarchitecture 120 d suggestive of aggressive resorption


Age-related Bone Loss



Bone Gain and Loss with Age is Sex-specific



Incidence of Limb Fractures



Summary: Spaceflight Evidence

- Negative calcium balance, reduced absorption and down-regulated calcitropic hormones
- Deficits in aBMD at weight-bearing sites, vBMD cancellous bone, thinning of cortical bone
- Increased bone resorption markers > formation markers
- Reductions in hip bone strength estimated by FEA, also in compressive and bending strengths at femoral neck after return
- Delayed and possibly incomplete restoration of preflight hip bone integrity.*

Summary/Conclusions

- The evidence-to-date suggests that the rapid rate of site-specific bone loss in space, due to the unbalanced stimulation of bone resorption, may predispose crew members to irreversible changes in bone structure and microarchitecture.
- No analyses conducted in the postflight period to assess microarchitectural changes.
- There is no complete analysis of skeletal recovery in the postflight period to evaluate the structural changes that accompany increases in DXA aBMD.
- Postflight analyses based upon QCT scans performed on *limited* crew members indicate reductions in hip bone strength and incomplete recovery at 1 year.
- No recovery of trabecular vBMD after 1 year return (HRP IWG).
- Time course of bone loss in space unknown.

Thank you.

Crew data

- Lang T, LeBlanc A, Evans H, Lu Y, Genant h, Yu A. 2004 Cortical and trabecular bone mineral loss from the spine and hip in long-duration spaceflight. J Bone Miner Res 19(6):1006-1012. LeBlanc A,
- Lang TF, LeBlanc AD, Evans HJ, Lu Y. The effect of long-duration spaceflight on the density, mass and geometry of the hip bone Submitted manuscript. 2006.
- Schneider V, Shackelford L, West S, Oganov V, Bakulin A, Voronin L. 2000 Bone mineral and lean tissue loss after long duration space flight. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact 1(2):157-160.
- Vico L, Collet P, Guignandon A, Lafage-Proust M, Thomas T, Rehailia M, Alexandre C 2000 Effects of long-term microgravity exposure on cancellous and cortical weight-bearing bones of cosmonauts. The Lancet 355:1607-1611.
- Vico L, Chappard D, Alexandre C, Palle S, Minaire P, Riffat G, et al. Effects of a 120 day period of bed-rest on bone mass and bone cell activities in man: attempts at countermeasure. Bone Miner. 1987;2(5):383-94.
- Sibonga JD, Evans HJ, Spector ER, Oganov, Bakulin, Shackelford LC, et al. Skeletal recovery following long-duration missions as predicted by preflight and postflight dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans of 45 crewmembers BONE. 2007

Bed rest citations

- Arnaud SA, Sherrard DJ, Maloney N, Whalen RT, Fung P. Effects of 1-week head-down tilt bed rest on bone formation and the calcium endocrine system. *Aviation, Space and Environmental Med.* 1992 64:14-20.
- Zerwekh JE, Ruml LA, Gottschalk F, Pak CY. The effects of twelve weeks of bed rest on bone histology, biochemical markers of bone turnover, and calcium homeostasis in eleven normal subjects *JBMR.* 1998;13 (10):1594-601.
- Thomsen JS, Morukov BV, Vico L, Alexandre C, Sapienza PI, Gowin W. Cancellous bone structure of iliac crest biopsies following 370 days of head-down bed rest *Aviation, Space, and Environmental Medicine* 2005;76 (10):915-22.
- Minaire P, Meunier P, Edouard C, Bernard J, Courpron P, Bourret J. Quantitative histological data on disuse osteoporosis. *Calcif Tiss Res* 1974;17:57-73.

Backup slides

“Osteoporosis is a skeletal disorder characterized by compromised bone strength predisposing to an increased risk of fracture. Bone strength reflects the integration of two main features: bone density and bone quality.”


JAMA. 2001

“....Bone quality, in turn, is stated to refer to architecture, turnover, damage accumulation, (e.g., microfractures) and mineralization....”

Osteoporosis Int. 2002

BMD T-Score Values by Area Expeditions 1-13 (n=16)

Comparison to Population Normals



Russian Data

- 0.9-19.8% losses in calcaneus after 75-84d missions (Stupakov, 1984)
- CT scans Salyut-7 crew (5-7 months) (Oganov 1990) document vertebral BMD losses of 0.3, 2.3, 6.2 and 10.8%
- Highlighted the variability in losses between crew members (as with Apollo missions)
- Losses did not correlate with flight duration

Correlations of Spaceflight-induced Changes (%) in DXA BMD to DXA Lean Muscle Mass

Correlation BMD with Lean Muscle Mass	R ²	p value
Pelvis vs. Leg Lean Mass	0.295	<0.05
Total Hip vs. Leg Lean Mass	0.053	<0.05
Trochanter vs. Leg Lean Mass	0.210	<0.05
Femoral neck vs. Leg Lean Mass	0.006	NS
Leg BMD vs. Leg Lean Mass	0.139	<0.01
Lumbar Spine vs. Trunk Lean	0.248	NS
Arm vs. Arm Lean Mass	0.041	NS


Note: A weak but significant correlation of hip BMD with area of muscle group attached to hip, as measured in CT scans in women without fracture compared to women with hip fracture. (Personal communication with T. Lang)

“Every change in the function of a bone is followed by certain definite changes in internal architecture and external conformation in accordance with mathematical principles”

J Wolff (1886)

The Law of Bone Remodelling. (1892) translated by
Maquet P and Furlong R. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag; 1986.


Age is an Independent Risk Factor for Osteoporotic Fractures



Probability of first fracture of hip, distal forearm, proximal humerus, and symptomatic vertebral fracture in women of Malmö, Sweden.

Adapted from:
Kanis JA et al. *Osteoporosis Int.* 2001;12:989-995.

Change in BMD after Space Flight (Mir and ISS)



p values based on one-tailed t-test assuming equal variances, ISS vs. Mir


For spine and hip, n = 16 ISS astronauts, 9 ISS cosmonauts, 7 Mir astronauts and 29 Mir cosmonauts (7 repeat flyers)

For pelvis, n = 16 ISS astronauts, 0 ISS cosmonauts, 7 Mir astronauts and 19 Mir cosmonauts

For heel, n = 16 ISS astronauts, 9 ISS cosmonauts, 7 Mir astronauts and 0 Mir cosmonauts

Bone Architecture in Relation to Physical Stress

Wolff's law. Bony structures orient themselves in form and mass to best resist extrinsic forces (ie, form and mass follow function)




Trabecular configuration in proximal femur

Trabecular groups conform to lines of stress in weight bearing

Feature		
		
<i>Type</i>	in vivo (animal)	in vivo (human)
<i>Geometry</i>	Cone-Beam	Cone-Beam
<i>Peak/Mean Energy</i>	30-70 kVp / 20-50 keV	60 kVp / 40 keV
<i>Max. Scan Diameter</i>	20-38 mm	125 mm
<i>Max. Scan Length</i>	145 mm	150 mm
<i>Nominal Resolution</i>	10 μ m	42 μ m
<i>Resolution (10% MTF)</i>	20 mm \varnothing : 16 μ m	125 mm \varnothing : 100 μ m
<i>Slice Thickness</i>	10-38 μ m	41-246 μ m

Bone Qualities: Indices that influence bone strength.




Hip Bone Strength: Use of modeling

Finite Element Models of Left Proximal Femur



Keyak et al, 1998,
2001, 2005

Loading Conditions




Results – Hip Strength

N=11 crewmembers


Loading Condition	Mean (SD) Pre-flight	Mean (SD) Post-flight	<i>p</i>
Stance	13,200 N (2300 N)	11,200 N (2400 N)	<0.001
2.2% loss/month			
Fall	2,580 N (560 N)	2,280 N (590 N)	0.003
1.9% loss/month			
1.0-1.5% BMD loss /month			

Percentage Reduction in Hip Strength




Stance



Fall




Activation Frequency requires Bone Histomorphometry




Average time that takes for a new remodeling cycle to begin on any point on a cancellous surface – an index for the rate of bone remodeling.

Not practical for site-specific bone remodeling see with mechanical unloading.


Bone Histology



Young Normal



Osteoporotic



Images courtesy of Ralph Müller, PhD, Switzerland

uni | eth | zürich

GAPS: Factors Related to Fracture Risk Besides Bone Mass

1. **Energy released by fall or “injury”** (need to identify tasks to be performed; perform modeling to predict*)
2. **Neuromuscular protection of bone** (need to preserve postural muscle mass and motor coordination)
3. **Energy absorbed by soft tissue** (need to provide adequate “protective padding,” evaluate putative osteoprotective effect of EVA suit and partial gravity)
4. **Bone Strength: Quantity & Quality** (need supplement DXA bone mass measurements)

*Carpenter JBMR, 2005